AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA
SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES

SGS 061

Doctoral Application Assessment Sheet

This form should be completed by each member of the School's Doctoral Application Review Committee and submitted along with the Committee's recommendation to the Graduate School

Student's Name:
PhD in:
Title of Proposed Research:

Date Submitted:
DD MM YY

Tick one grade box for each category.

CATEGORY	1 Unacceptable	2 Weak	3 Satisfactory	4 good	5 Excellent	6 Outstanding
ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE Clarity of argument						
RESEARCH AIMS, QUESTIONS & HYPOTHESES						
CONTENT Use of evidence, use of literature						
QUALITY OF WRITING						
RESEARCH DESIGN & METHODS Quality and appropriateness of proposed research methodology						
REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY						
OPTIONAL CATEGORY (Please add):						
TOTAL						

Overall score (please add totals):…….

Does the candidate have a relevant bachelor's degree? Yes No

Does the candidate have a relevant master's degree? Yes No

Does the School have a qualified expert to supervise the proposed research? Yes No

Please list the experts/potential supervisors (you can include experts from other schools)

1)
2)
3)

Reviewer's comments [please comment on the strength of the proposal and the candidate. Continue on separate sheet if necessary]:

REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE AND DATE NAME OF REVIEWER

Reviewer scoring scale

Please use the following key for overall scores

Score	Description
6 (Outstanding)	The proposal is **outstanding** in its potential scientific merit. This candidate should be recommended for admission and mentored to take on a TA role.
5 (Excellent)	The proposal is **excellent** in its potential scientific merit. The candidate should be recommended for admission.
4 (Good)	The proposal is **important** as it has considerable potential merit. This candidate should be placed on a waiting list and accepted when there is an opportunity.
3 (Satisfactory)	The proposal has **significant** potential scientific merit but is not of a consistently high quality. This candidate can be placed on a waiting list, and only accepted if there is an opportunity and additional support.
2 (Weak)	The proposal will add to understanding and is **worthy** of support but is of lesser quality or urgency than more highly rated proposals. This candidate should be declined.
1 (Unacceptable, Poor)	The proposal is **flawed;** or repetitious of other works; or not worth pursuing; or, though possibly having sound objectives, is seriously defective in its methodological approach. This candidate should be declined.